



**FILING DATE** 

07/14/98



**BREED** 

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

wasnington, D	.C. 20231	$\sim$ $\sim$	
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	D	AJI-192	

022846
BRIAN ROFFE, ESQ
366 LONGACRE AVENUE
WOODMERE NY. 11598

APPLICATION NO.

09/114,962

PM82/0102

CULBRETH, E
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

**EXAMINER** 

3611

DATE MAILED:

01/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Advisory Action

Application No. 09/114,962 Applicant(s)

Examiner

**Eric Culbreth** 

Group Art Unit 3611

Breed et al



TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) X expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six mon rejection.	his Advisory Action, whichever ths from the date of the final		
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) a	the date for the purposes of		
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR	(or within any 1.192(a).		
	oplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Dec\ 18,1900}$ has been considered very large to place the application in condition for allowance:	with the following effect,		
X	The proposed amendment(s):			
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
	🗴 will not be entered because:			
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See r	note below).		
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially r issues for appeal.	reducing or simplifying the		
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.		
	NOTE: The amended claims require further consideration because they are not in prope	er form (underlined additions,		
	bracketed deletions). Although there are marked up copies of the claims in the	Appendix, (see Other below)		
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claims would be separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	allowable if submitted in a		
	· · · · · ·			
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place for allowance because: <u>as applicant notes (page 9, first paragraph of the remarks) claim 1 does not mention that the second place of the remarks of the</u>	he crash sensor is placed in		
	a crush zone as is argued; hence the combination meets the claims. Other applied art mee	····		
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues the Examiner in the final rejection.	which were newly raised by		
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
	Claims allowed:			
	Claims objected to: 8, 15, 20, and 25			
	Claims rejected: <u>1-7, 9-14, 16-19, 21-24, and 26-31</u>			
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been	approved by the Examiner.		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
X	Other the claims to be entered would still have to be compared to the claims in the	- Ene Calletto		
	Appendix for accuracy, which would require further consideration, as opposed to if the claims to be entered were properly amended.	ERIC CULBRETH		
		PRIMARY EXAMINED		